



Received: 18 May, 2020

Accepted: 25 May, 2020

Published: 26 May, 2020

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Milton Barua, MBBS, FCPS (Medicine), MD (Endocrinology), MRCP (Paces, UK), Senior Consultant (Medicine), Sadar Hospital, Khagrachhari, Bangladesh, Tel: 8801911771116; Email: dr.miltonbarua@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8574-1913>

Keywords: NSTEMI; Outcome; Uric acid; Cardiogenic shock; LVF; AF; BBB

<https://www.peertechz.com>



Check for updates

## Review Article

# An Observational Study to Assess Outcome of NSTEMI Patients with Raised Serum Uric Acid Level in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Bangladesh

Chakma PJ<sup>1</sup>, Barua M<sup>2\*</sup>, Kuryshi SA<sup>3</sup>, Barua B<sup>4</sup> and Roy MS<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>RMO, Sadar Hospital, Khagrachhari, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Senior consultant (Medicine), Sadar Hospital, Khagrachhari, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup>Senior Consultant (Cardiology), Sadar Hospital, Khagrachhari, Bangladesh

<sup>4</sup>Junior Consultant, TB Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

<sup>5</sup>MO, UHC, Fatickchari, Chittagong, Bangladesh

## Abstract

**Background:** Non-ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI) is the commonest form of ACS and a leading global cause of premature morbidity and mortality. Evidences link serum uric acid with short and long-term major adverse outcomes (MACE) in patients with NSTEMI.

**Objective:** To see in-hospital outcome of NSTEMI patients with raised serum uric acid level.

**Methodology:** This cross-sectional observational study was conducted in the Department of Cardiology, Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College Hospital, Sylhet during the period from July, 2017 to June, 2019. Fifty NSTEMI patients with raised serum uric acid (>7mg/dl in male; >6mg/dl in female) level (Group A) and fifty NSTEMI patients with normal serum uric acid level (group B) admitted within 24 hours of symptom onset were consequently enrolled. In-hospital complications and mortality were recorded while continuing standard treatment for the event.

**Results:** The mean age was 60.82 (SD 9.62) years in group A and 49.90 (SD 10.40) years in group B. The mean age of the patients of group A was significantly higher than patients of Group B (p<0.001). Male preponderance was in both groups (84.0% versus 80.0%; p=0.603). Diabetes mellitus (52.0% versus 22.0%; p=0.002), hypertension (78.0% versus 52.0%; p=0.039) and dyslipidaemia (48.0% versus 12.0%; p<0.001) were more frequent in group A than that of group B. But smoking status (70.0% versus 66.0%; p=0.668), family history of CAD (10.0% versus 26.0%; p=0.476) did not differ significantly. Killip class did not differ significantly between group A and group B (p=0.127). In-hospital mortality was 5 (10.0%) patients in group A and 2 (4.0%) patients in group B; did not reach the level of significance (p>0.05) and complications such as post MI angina, cardiogenic shock, acute left ventricular failure, re-infarction, sinus tachycardia, sinus bradycardia, atrial flutter, atrial fibrillation, bundle branch block, ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation did not differ significantly between the two groups (p>0.05).

**Conclusion:** There is no significant difference between in-hospital outcome (mortality and complications) of NSTEMI patients with raised and normal serum uric acid level.

## Introduction

The term Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) is a unifying construct representing a pathophysiologic and clinical spectrum culminating in acute myocardial ischemia. ACS

encompasses Unstable Angina (UA) and ST-segment elevation MI (STEMI) or non-ST-segment elevation MI (NSTEMI) [1].

Myocardial infarction (MI) is one of the most common life threatening diagnoses in emergency hospital admissions.



Most of the complications occur during the first few hours of hospitalization. It is a major cause of death and disability worldwide. MI may be the first manifestation of coronary artery disease (CAD) or it may occur, repeatedly, in patients with established disease. MI is defined in pathology as myocardial cell death due to prolonged myocardial ischemia [2]. The clearest separation between UA and NSTEMI is the absence or presence, respectively, of abnormal concentrations of biomarkers indicative of myocardial necrosis, either the troponins (which are structural proteins) or creatine kinase-MB (which is a cardiac enzyme) [3].

Angiographic, Intravascular Ultrasound (IVUS), and angioscopic studies indicate that UA/NSTEMI usually results from the disruption of an atherosclerotic plaque with a subsequent platelet-rich thrombus that obstructs microvascular blood flow and transiently or partially obstruct epicardial blood flow.

Atherosclerosis is a chronic, lipid-driven inflammatory disease of the arterial wall leading to multifocal plaque development [4]. As a consequence of different technical measures in developed countries, in-hospital mortality from AMI in the general population declined by half, from 15% to about 7.5% and it is now as low as 3.5% [5]. The case fatality rates for cardiovascular events in low-income countries, represented largely by India, was 17%; this is much higher than in higher income countries, which had a case fatality rate of 6.5% [6].

In Bangladesh, data regarding case fatality rate of AMI are based on discrete and small scale studies. The exact proportion of ACS patients receiving early reperfusion therapy (Thrombolysis, Stenting or CABG) is also unknown. Thus, the result would be more alarming in Bangladesh if nationwide study could be conducted.

Acute myocardial infarctions have a substantially increased risk of death after hospitalization, when shock, left ventricular failure (LVF) or arrhythmias occur during their hospital stay. So cardiogenic shock, LVF and arrhythmias should be treated properly. Knowledge of potential complications will help in proper management of acute MI with good outcome [7]. In a French study, conducted from 1995 to 2015, it was shown that in patients with STEMI, mortality has continued to decrease since 2010, to reach an all-time low in 2015. In patients with NSTEMI, despite recent changes in antithrombotic medications at the acute stage, mortality has remained similar in 2015 in comparison with 2010 [8].

The better understanding of the pathophysiology of acute ischemic syndromes is associated with new therapeutic perspectives, which go beyond simple obstruction of coronary artery flow. The continuous development and use of platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, stent implantation, and the perfect knowledge of dynamic phenomena, such as thrombosis, genetics, inflammation, and possibly infectious agents and plaque stabilizers, will be the central focus of this old, but always renewed discussion.

Elevated Serum Uric Acid (SUA) level has been recently recognized as a risk factor for the development of the arterial hypertension, subclinical atherosclerosis, stroke and heart failure [9]. Hyperuricaemia is believed to cause endothelial dysfunction, vasoconstriction, platelet aggregation and act as a potent pro-inflammatory agent in the pathogenesis of atherothrombosis. Higher SUA determined on admission (within 48 hours since the symptom onset) in a cohort of patients from Croatia was independently associated with higher short-term mortality and poorer long-term survival after AMI [10].

The baseline levels of SUA strongly and independently predict adverse outcomes, in particular all-cause and cardiovascular (CV) mortality, and regardless of whether patients were on diuretics or not. SUA as a low-cost and widely available biomarker might improve clinical risk stratification of patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction and/or heart failure following acute MI [11].

In a recent study done in Bangladesh it has been concluded that on admission serum uric acid estimation is a predictor of in-hospital poor outcome in patients with acute myocardial infarction. As it is a cheap and noninvasive procedure, it can be routinely practiced in cardiac emergency department for risk stratification of patients [9].

There have been enormous studies in multiple domains of ACS pathophysiology to detect unknown or less understood etiological and/or associated factors of ACS in the hope of a better understanding of the grave pathological condition so that newer approaches could be invented to combat the ominous impact of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease on mankind.

Numerous studies on prognostic importance of raised SUA on mortality and complications of AMI patients have been conducted in many countries. Many studies found significant association of raised SUA with increased complications including in-hospital deaths in AMI patients. But there are also several studies that either found no association or inconclusive association between raised SUA and complications in AMI patients [9].

More prospective randomized trials on lowering SUA are needed in order to clarify the role of the uric acid in the development and progression of cardiovascular disease and to establish if reducing SUA level will translate into a better cardiovascular outcome. Hyperuricaemia will become then a meaningful target for the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular disease [9].

Atherosclerosis is an innate inflammatory disease, inflammatory activity is not confined to just a few atherosclerotic lesions but is present, more or less, in all such lesions throughout the body [12]. As a systemic disease atherosclerosis demands for a systemic approach, focusing on cardiovascular risk factors and the overall burden of disease to ensure appropriate identification and treatment of those who are at highest risk, the so called vulnerable patients.

This study was done to observe and record the in-hospital outcome including mortality and other complications in NSTEMI patients with raised serum uric acid level and compare the outcome with that of NSTEMI patients with normal serum uric acid level.

## Methods and materials

This was a cross-sectional observational study that was conducted in the Department of Cardiology, MAG Osmani Medical College Hospital, Sylhet, during the period from July 2017 to June 2019. Purposive consecutive sampling of 50 cases of NSTEMI with raised SUA level and 50 cases of NSTEMI with normal SUA level who were admitted in CCU included in this study. Inclusion criteria include Patients with NSTEMI, admitted within 24 hours of symptom onset, 30-75 years of age and both males and females. Exclusion criteria are NSTEMI patients admitted after 24 hours of symptom onset, NSTEMI patients treated outside before admission in CCU, NSTEMI patients with CKD, Liver disease, Malignancy, Myeloproliferative disease, Past history of myocardial infarction, Past history of revascularization (Stenting, CABG), Cardiomyopathy, valvular heart disease, patients on Hydrochlorothiazide, those who refused to enroll in this study. Both quantitative and qualitative data were collected by using pre designed questionnaire. Informed written consent was taken from the patients and/or attendant after detailed explanation of the purpose of the study. Data were collected by the investigator through face to face interview, ECG, physical examination, laboratory investigations and imaging. All patients were followed up hourly in CCU and 3 times in 24 hours (8.00am, 2.00pm and 8:00pm) in post-CCU up to discharge from hospital. During follow up, a 12 lead ECG was recorded daily till discharge. Development of new chest pain (post MI angina), any arrhythmia, heart failure, cardiogenic shock and in-hospital mortality were observed and recorded. All relevant data were recorded in a pre-designed questionnaire that were processed and analyzed manually and using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) Version 22.0. All the patients were given an explanation on purpose and importance of the study along with the risks and benefits of the study. Written informed consent was taken from each participant with approval of the study protocol from the Institutional Ethical Committee before commencement of the study Tables 1-10.

## Discussion

Clinical and epidemiological studies have proved that serum uric acid (SUA) is significantly correlated with cardiovascular disease. Increased SUA is significantly associated with the

**Table 1:** Distribution of the patients according to age.

Age	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	p-value
31-40 years	3	10	17	*p<0.001
41-50 years	4	19	23	
51-60 years	18	15	33	
61-70 years	18	4	22	
71-80 years	7	2	9	
Mean	60.8 (SD 9.6)	49.9 (SD 10.4)	55.4 (SD 11.4)	†p<0.001

SD=standard deviation. \*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test and †unpaired t-test were done to analyze the data.

**Table 2:** Distribution of the patients according to Sex.

Sex	Study Group			p-value
	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Male	42	40	82	*p<0.001
Female	8	10	18	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was done to measure the level of significance.

**Table 3:** Distribution of the patients by Diabetes Mellitus.

Diabetes mellitus	Study Group			p-value
	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Present	26	11	37	*p=0.002
Absent	24	39	63	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was done to analyze the data.

**Table 4:** Distribution of the patients by Smoking Status.

Smoking status	Study Group			p-value
	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Smoker	35	33	68	*p=0.668
Non-smoker	15	17	32	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was done to analyze the data.

**Table 5:** Distribution of the patients according to Blood Pressure.

Blood pressure	Study Group			p value
	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Hypertensive	39	26	65	*p=0.039
Normotensive	11	24	35	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was done to analyze the data

**Table 6:** Distribution of the patients according to Dyslipidaemia.

Dyslipidaemia	Study Group			p-value
	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Present	24	6	30	*p<0.001
Absent	26	44	70	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was done to analyze the data.

**Table 7:** Distribution of the patients according to family history of CAD.

Family History of CAD	Study Group			p-value
	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Present	29	36	65	*p=0.476
Absent	21	14	35	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test was done to analyze the data.

**Table 8:** Distribution of the patients by Killip Class of Cardiac Failure.

Killip class	Study Group			p-value
	Group-A (n=50)	Group-B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Killip class -I	23	31	54	*p=0.127
Killip class -II	16	8	24	
Killip class -III	6	9	15	
Killip class -IV	5	2	7	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Fisher's exact test was done to analyze the data.

occurrence and mortality of coronary artery disease [13]. But few studies have investigated serum uric acid levels in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Several theories have been discussed, such as high serum uric acid has an impact on

**Table 9:** Distribution of the patients by In-hospital Mortality.

In-hospital mortality	Study Group			p-value
	Group-A (n=50)	Group-B (n=50)	Total (n=100)	
Death	5	2	7	*p=0.436
Survive	45	48	93	
Total	50	50	100	

\*Fisher's exact test was done to analyze the data.

**Table 10:** Distribution of the patients by In-hospital Complications.

In-hospital complications	Study Group		p-value
	Group A (n=50)	Group B (n=50)	
Acute left ventricular failure	27	19	*p=0.108
Post MI angina	3	5	<sup>†</sup> p=0.715
Re-infarction	1	0	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Atrial Flutter	1	0	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Atrial Fibrillation	1	0	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Sinus Bradycardia	4	3	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Sinus Tachycardia	1	6	<sup>†</sup> p=0.112
Right Bundle block	0	1	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Premature Atrial Contraction	0	1	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Ventricular tachycardia	0	1	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Ventricular fibrillation	0	1	<sup>†</sup> p=1.000
Cardiogenic shock	5	2	<sup>†</sup> p=0.436

\*Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) test and <sup>†</sup>Fisher's Exact test were done to analyze the data.

increasing platelet reactivity [14], mediating inflammation, and stimulation of smooth muscle cell proliferation which probably worsened the acute thrombosis complication. Previous trials suggest that uric acid might be an independent predictor of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with coronary artery disease or only an indirect marker of adverse event due to the association between uric acid and other cardiovascular risk factors [15].

High SUA has been indicated as a risk factor for CAD and as an independent prognostic factor of poorer outcomes (occurrence of AMI, fatal AMI, sudden death, all-cause mortality) in patients with verified CAD [13]. Less is known about SUA as a potential prognostic/risk factor for outcomes in patients affected specifically by AMI. A retrospective analysis observed a univariate association between higher SUA on admission (within 48 hours since the symptom onset) and higher thirty-day mortality (fourth versus first quartile SUA values) in AMI patients. It is also reported that an independent association between higher SUA and poorer long-term survival [16]. Having in mind, the potential ethnic/racial specificities and cultural differences (eg, diet, alcohol consumption), we aimed to investigate SUA levels determined on admission as a potential predictor of in-hospital outcome (mortality and complications). This cross-sectional observational study was conducted in the Department of Cardiology, MAG Osmani Medical College Hospital, Sylhet from July 2017 to June 2019 to see the in-hospital outcome of NSTEMI.

This study also showed that the mean age was 60.82 (SD 9.62) years in hyperuricemia group and was 49.90 (SD 10.40) years in normal uric acid group. The mean age of the patients of hyperuricemia was significantly higher than patients of normal uric acid level ( $p < 0.001$ ). Similar result was also observed in the study of Omidvar, et al. (2012) [17].

This study showed that males were predominant in both groups (84.0% and 80.0% respectively). No significant difference of gender was seen between two groups ( $p = 0.603$ ). Male preponderance of NSTEMI was reported in several other studies like Belle, et al. (2017) [18].

This study showed that 37.0% of total NSTEMI patients were diabetic with 52.0% of patients of hyperuricemia was diabetic and 22.0% of patients of normal uric acid level were diabetic. The patients of hyperuricemia were more frequently diabetic than that of normal uric acid level ( $p = 0.002$ ). This finding was also supported by Safi, et al. (2004) [19], which showed that hyperuricemia was significantly associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus. However, this finding was in contrast to other study by Das, et al. (2015) [20], in which there was no significant association between serum uric acid level and diabetic status.

This study revealed that 35 (70.0%) patients of group A were smoker and 33 (66.0%) patients of group B were smoker; difference was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.668$ ). Zahid, et al. (2015) [12], in their study reported similar findings.

This study showed that 65.0% of total NSTEMI patients were hypertensive where as 78.0% patients of group A and 52.0% patients of group B were hypertensive separately. In this study, there was no significant association ( $p = 0.241$ ) between raised serum uric acid level on admission and hypertension in NSTEMI patients. This finding is different from that of other studies which showed that hypertensive patients had more hyperuricemia (Bickel et al., 2002) [21].

This study revealed that 30.0% of total NSTEMI had dyslipidaemia with 48.0% patients had dyslipidaemia in group A and 12.0% patients had dyslipidaemia in group B. Patients of group A were more frequently dyslipidaemic than that of group B ( $p < 0.001$ ). In 2012, Omidvar [17], found similar findings of 56.7% patients had dyslipidemia in group A and 19.2% patients had dyslipidemia in group B ( $p = 0.862$ ).

This study revealed that 23.0% of total NSTEMI patients had family history of CAD with 20.0% patients in group A and 26.0% patients in group B. Patients of group A had more frequent family history of CAD than that of patients of group B ( $p = 0.476$ ). Belle, et al. (2017) [18], reported that 22.0% of total population of NSTEMI had family history of CAD. Omidvar, et al. (2012) [17], found 26.7% patients were diabetic in group A and 28.3% patients were diabetic in group B ( $p = 0.882$ ).

The present study showed that Killip class I was in 23 (46.0%), Killip class II in 16 (32.0%), Killip class III in 6 (12.0%) and Killip class IV in 5 (10.0%) patients in group A; whereas Killip class I was in 31 (62.0%), Killip class II in 8 (16.0%), Killip class III in 9 (18.0%) and Killip class IV in 2 (4.0%) patients in group B. Killip class did not differ significantly between group A and group B ( $p = 0.127$ ). Biswas, et al. (2016) [23], found that Killip class-I was less frequent in hyperuricemia group compared to normal serum uric acid group; whereas Killip class-IV was more frequent in hyperuricemia group compared to normal serum uric acid group. So, their study found that



serum uric acid level is low among patients with lower Killip class and high among higher Killip class. Thus, raised serum uric acid level was associated with increased severity of heart failure and reflected the prognosis in essence with a significant p value ( $<0.05$ ). This study is discrepant regarding Killip class and raised serum uric acid from that of Biswas et al. This study demonstrated that in-hospital mortality was 7.0% of all NSTEMI with 10.0% patients in group A and 4.0% patients in group B. In-hospital mortality was higher in group A than that of group B but did not reach the level of significance ( $p>0.05$ ). Hossain, et al. (2016) [12], reported in-hospital mortality of acute MI was 7.3% with 14.3% of patients with raised uric acid level and 3% of patients with normal uric acid level. In-hospital mortality of acute MI was significantly higher in patients with raised uric acid level than normal uric acid level ( $p=0.020$ ). Lazzeri, et al. (2010) [24], found that in-hospital mortality was higher in "high" SUA patients (9.0% vs. 2.5%),  $p<0.006$  which is a bit differ from this study. There were no association between elevated SUA and mortality among these population of this study. However, one of the largest studies, Ndrepepa, et al. (2012) demonstrated the positive association between elevated SUA and 1-year mortality in 5124 patients with ACS [25]. The large study that showed association of mortality with raised uric acid level included all ACS patients (STEMI+ NSTEMI+ UA) and in-hospital mortality of STEMI patient is more than double than NSTEMI. In this study there were no STEMI patients and also no UA patients. So discrepancy between that study and this is justified as it is established that NSTEMI patients have comparatively lower in-hospital mortality than STEMI patients.

This study revealed that cardiogenic shock [5 (10.0%) versus 2 (4.0%),  $p=0.436$ ]; acute left ventricular failure [27 (54.0%) versus 19 (38.0%),  $p=0.108$ ]; re-infarction [1 (2.0%) versus 0 (0.0%),  $p=1.000$ ]; sinus tachycardia [1 (2.0%) versus 6 (12.0%),  $p=0.108$ ]; sinus bradycardia [4 (8.0%) versus 3 (6.0%),  $p=1.000$ ]; atrial flutter [1 (2.0%) versus 0 (0.0%),  $p=1.000$ ]; atrial fibrillation [1 (2.0%) versus 0 (0.0%),  $p=1.000$ ]; right bundle block [0 (0.0%) versus 1 (2.0%),  $p=1.000$ ], ventricular tachycardia [0 (0.0%) versus 1 (2.0%),  $p=1.000$ ]; ventricular fibrillation [0 (0.0%) versus 1 (2.0%),  $p=1.000$ ] did not differ significantly between two groups. But none of the patients of both groups developed mechanical complication. In this regards Hossain et al., (2016) [12], found that cardiogenic shock and acute left ventricular failure were significantly more frequent in hyperuricemia group compared to normal serum uric acid level group ( $p=0.037$  and  $p=0.004$  respectively) whereas arrhythmia and heart block did not differ significantly between two groups ( $p=0.545$  and  $p=0.629$  respectively). The difference may be because of inclusion of all acute Myocardial Infarction cases irrespective of STEMI and NSTEMI in their study but in this study only NSTEMI were included. In-hospital outcome of NSTEMI patients is comparatively better than STEMI patients globally.

## Conclusion

There is no significant difference between in-hospital outcome (mortality and complications) of NSTEMI patients with raised and normal serum uric acid level.

## Limitation

This study was conducted in a single hospital where randomization was not done with minimal sample.

## References

1. Baber U, Holmes D, Halperin J, Fuster V (2017) Definitions of Acute Coronary Syndrome. In: Fuster V., Harrington RA, Narula J, Eapen ZJ, editors. *Hurst's The Heart*. 14<sup>th</sup> Ed. Vol. 1. USA: McGraw Hill 946-995.
2. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE, Chung MK, et al. (2013) American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 127: e362-425. [Link: https://bit.ly/2WYluAU](https://bit.ly/2WYluAU)
3. Moliterno DJ, Januzzi JL (2017) Evaluation and management of Non -ST-segment elevation Myocardial Infarction. In: Fuster V, Harrington RA, Narula J, Eapen ZJ, editors. *Hurst's The Heart*. 14<sup>th</sup> ed, Vol. One. USA: McGraw Hill; 995. [Link: https://bit.ly/3grnUB9](https://bit.ly/3grnUB9)
4. Libby P, Hansson GK (2015) Inflammation and inflammatory diseases of the arterial tree: Players and layers. *Circ Res* 116: 307-311. [Link: https://bit.ly/2WZhZey](https://bit.ly/2WZhZey)
5. Braunwald E (2012) The treatment of acute myocardial infarction: The Past, the Present, and the Future *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 1: 9-12. [Link: https://bit.ly/36AAn0M](https://bit.ly/36AAn0M)
6. Yusuf S, Rangarajan S, Teo K, Islam S, Li W, et al. (2014) Cardiovascular risk and events in 17 low-, middle-, and high-income countries. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 371: 818-827. [Link: https://bit.ly/36v1A4T](https://bit.ly/36v1A4T)
7. Islam M, Bhattacharjee B, Chowdhury MAY, Siddique AN, Karim AMMR (2016) Outcome of Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients Admitted in a Tertiary Care Hospital. *Medicine Today* 28: 6-8. [Link: https://bit.ly/36vnJQk](https://bit.ly/36vnJQk)
8. Puymirat E, Simon T, Cayla G, Cottin Y, Elbaz M, et al. (2017) Acute Myocardial Infarction: Changes in Patient Characteristics, Management, and 6-Month Outcomes Over a Period of 20 Years in the FAST-MI Program (French Registry of Acute ST-Elevation or Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction) 1995 to 2015. *Circulation* 136: 1908-1919. [Link: https://bit.ly/2Xs8CDI](https://bit.ly/2Xs8CDI)
9. Iliesiu A, Campeanu A, Dusceac D (2010) Serum uric acid and cardiovascular disease. *Maedica* 5: 186-192. [Link: https://bit.ly/3d37svH](https://bit.ly/3d37svH)
10. Car S, Trkulja V (2009) Higher Serum Uric Acid on Admission Is Associated with Higher Short-term Mortality and Poorer Long-term Survival After Myocardial Infarction: Retrospective Prognostic Study. *Croat Med J* 50: 559-566. [Link: https://bit.ly/3d27ctg](https://bit.ly/3d27ctg)
11. von Lueder TG, Girerd N, Atar D, Agewall S, Lamiral Z, et al. (2015) Serum uric acid is associated with mortality and heart failure hospitalizations in patients with complicated myocardial infarction: Findings from the High-Risk Myocardial Infarction Database Initiative. *Eur J Heart Fail* 17: 1144-1151. [Link: https://bit.ly/2WXC19s](https://bit.ly/2WXC19s)
12. Hossain MD, Ferdousi S, Islam MS, Paul D, Sultana T, et al. (2016) Prognostic value of serum Uric Acid in-hospital Mortality and Morbidity in Patients with acute Myocardial Infarction. *University Heart Journal* 12: 8-11. [Link: https://bit.ly/2TERf61](https://bit.ly/2TERf61)
13. Brodov Y, Chouraqui P, Goldenberg I, Boyko V, Mandelzweig L, et al. (2009) Serum uric acid for risk stratification of patients with coronary artery disease. *Cardiology* 114: 300-305. [Link: https://bit.ly/2yAqIL4](https://bit.ly/2yAqIL4)
14. Maxwell AJ, Bruinsma KA (2011) Uric acid is closely linked to vascular nitric oxide activity: Evidence for mechanism of association with cardiovascular disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 38: 1850-1858. [Link: https://bit.ly/3cZqHiM](https://bit.ly/3cZqHiM)



15. Weir CJ, Muir SW, Walters MR, Lees KR (2003) Serum urate as an independent predictor of poor outcome and future vascular events after acute stroke. *Stroke* 34: 1951-1956. [Link: https://bit.ly/2A9UxIP](https://bit.ly/2A9UxIP)
16. Kojima S, Sakamoto T, Ishihara M, Kimura K, Miyazaki S, et al. (2005) Prognostic Usefulness of Serum Uric Acid After Acute Myocardial Infarction (The Japanese Acute Coronary Syndrome Study). *Am J Cardiol* 96: 489-495. [Link: https://bit.ly/3go2d4D](https://bit.ly/3go2d4D)
17. Omidvar B, Ayatollahi F, Alasti M (2012) The prognostic role of serum uric acid level in patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction. *J Saudi Heart Assoc* 24: 73-78. [Link: https://bit.ly/2Zxv68E](https://bit.ly/2Zxv68E)
18. Belle L, Cayla G, Cottin Y, Coste P, Khalife K, et al. (2017) French Registry on Acute ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction 2015 (FAST-MI 2015). Design and baseline data. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 110: 366-378. [Link: https://bit.ly/2ZGEmqS](https://bit.ly/2ZGEmqS)
19. Safi AJ, Mahmood R, Khan A, Ul Haq A (2004) Association of serum uric acid with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute* 18: 59-63.
20. Das A, Dhandapani E, Sugumar A, Manam A (2015) Study of serum uric acid levels in acute myocardial infarction. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences* 6: 222-226.
21. Zahid MA, Khan HILR, Chowdhury AW, Sabah KMN, Kabir SMEZ, et al. (2015) Demographic Profile of NSTEMI (Non ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction) Patients & Association of ST-Segment Depression and Level of Troponin I with NSTEMI Patient's In-Hospital Outcome. *Medicine Today* 27:14-19.
22. Bickel C, Rupprecht HJ, Blankenberg S, Rippin G, Hafner G, et al. (2002) Serum Uric Acid as an Independent Predictor of Mortality in Patients With Angiographically Proven Coronary Artery Disease. *Am J Cardiol* 89: 12-17. [Link: https://bit.ly/2TyN7PV](https://bit.ly/2TyN7PV)
23. Biswas K, Halder S, Sarkar R, Roy K (2016) A study on prognostic significance of serum uric acid in acute myocardial infarction in a tertiary care institute. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences* 4: 4557-4562. [Link: https://bit.ly/3gmXpwD](https://bit.ly/3gmXpwD)
24. Lazzeri C, Valente S, Chiostrri M, Sori A, Bernardo P, et al. (2010) Uric acid in the acute phase of ST elevation myocardial infarction submitted to primary PCI: Its prognostic role and relation with inflammatory markers: A single center experience. *Int J Cardiol* 138: 206-219. [Link: https://bit.ly/2Xyxp0](https://bit.ly/2Xyxp0)
25. Ndrepepa G, Siegmund B, Hans-Ullrich H, Stefanie S, Sabine R, et al. (2012) Prognostic Value of Uric Acid in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. *Am J Cardiol* 109: 1260-1265. [Link: https://bit.ly/2X1fk4o](https://bit.ly/2X1fk4o)

**Discover a bigger Impact and Visibility of your article publication with Peertechz Publications**

**Highlights**

- ◆ Signatory publisher of ORCID
- ◆ Signatory Publisher of DORA (San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment)
- ◆ Articles archived in worlds' renowned service providers such as Portico, CNKI, AGRIS, TDNet, Base (Bielefeld University Library), CrossRef, SciEL, J-Gate etc.
- ◆ Journals indexed in ICMJE, SHERPA/ROWED, Google Scholar etc.
- ◆ OAI-PMH (Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting)
- ◆ Dedicated Editorial Board for every journal
- ◆ Accurate and rapid peer-review process
- ◆ Increased citations of published articles through promotions
- ◆ Reduced timeline for article publication

Submit your articles and experience a new surge in publication services (<https://www.peertechz.com/submission>).

*Peertechz journals wishes everlasting success in your every endeavour.*

**Copyright:** © 2020 Chakma PJ, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Chakma PJ, Barua M, Kuryshi SA, Barua B, Roy MS (2020) An Observational Study to Assess Outcome of NSTEMI Patients with Raised Serum Uric Acid Level in a Tertiary Care Hospital of Bangladesh. *J Cardiovasc Med Cardiol* 7(2): 157-162. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.17352/2455-2976.000132>